

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
EXECUTIVE HELD IN THE COUNCIL
CHAMBER, WALLFIELDS, HERTFORD
ON TUESDAY 23 OCTOBER 2007 AT
7.30 PM

PRESENT: Councillor A P Jackson (Chairman/Leader).
Councillors M R Alexander, M G Carver,
L O Haysey, T Milner, R L Parker and
M J Tindale.

ALSO PRESENT:

Councillors D Andrews, W Ashley, D Clark,
N Clark, R N Copping, J Demonti, R Gilbert,
A M Graham, M P A McMullen, N C Poulton,
W Quince, J O Ranger, S A Rutland-Barsby,
J P Warren and M Wood.

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Anne Freimanis	- Chief Executive
Steve Adelizzi	- Home Energy Efficiency Officer
Cliff Cardoza	- Head of Environmental Services
Simon Drinkwater	- Director of Neighbourhood Services
Philip Hamberger	- Director of Internal Services
Martin Ibrahim	- Senior Democratic Services Officer
Lorraine Kirk	- Senior Communications Officer
Paul Newman	- Licensing Process Manager
Andrew Pulham	- Parking Manager
Peter Searle	- Head of Business Support Services
Jan Spong	- Head of Community Safety and Licensing

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

Martin Singleton	}	
Jon Speed	}	Hertfordshire Constabulary
Tom Turner	}	

317 LEADER'S ANNOUNCEMENT

The Leader asked Members to note that a revised version of the report referred to at Minute 323 – Footbridge Over the River Stort, had been tabled. The new version had been slightly reworded and would be dealt on the open part of the agenda. As such, it had been made available to the press and public.

318 DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Councillor M J Tindale declared a personal and prejudicial interest in the matter referred to at Minute 331 – Treasury Management Strategy 2006/07 and Prudential Code Review, in that Scottish Widows, one of the Council's fund managers, were a client of his business. He left the chamber whilst this matter was considered.

Councillor L O Haysey declared a personal interest in the matter referred to at Minute 319 – Proposal for a Designated Public Places Order for Hertford Town Centre, in that Hertford Civic Society, of which she was the Chairman, had commented on the proposal as part of the statutory consultation process.

RECOMMENDED ITEMS319 PROPOSAL FOR A DESIGNATED PUBLIC PLACES ORDER (DPPO) FOR HERTFORD TOWN CENTRE

The Executive Member for Community Safety and Protection submitted a report requesting that the Council should use powers under section 13 of the

Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001 to make a Designated Public Places Order (DPPO) for parts of Hertford Town Centre as detailed on the map now submitted. The Executive Member requested that the area shown on the map should be extended to include the Castle grounds. The aim of the Order was to reduce alcohol related anti-social behaviour and crime within the town of Hertford.

It was noted that the Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001 had given local authorities the power to designate public areas with a DPPO, where there had been an established history of nuisance or annoyance to the public, or disorder, associated with the consumption of intoxicating liquor. This provided the police with the power to enforce this restriction.

The Responsible Authorities Group (RAG), comprising a number of agencies, had noted that 743 crimes had taken place within the previous six months within the boundaries of the proposed DPPO and that, the DPPO would go some way in helping to achieve the crime reduction targets. The DPPO gave the police the power to require individuals to surrender the alcohol and any opened or sealed containers where they had reason to believe that the alcohol would be consumed in a designated area. This provision had been previously known as an 'Alcohol Ban'. If any person failed, without reasonable excuse, to comply with any of these requirements made by a police officer, then an offence had been committed. They would then be liable to a fixed penalty fine of £40 or £500 upon conviction.

The Executive Member detailed the statutory consultation that had taken place during the period of 8 weeks through to 18 August 2007. The findings showed that the primary response had returned a clear and significant 91% in favour of the proposal with a further 2% supporting it but requesting that the area could be extended.

In response to a comment by the Executive Member for Environment and Conservation, it was confirmed that the purpose of the DPPO was to tackle anti-social behaviour and not to inhibit innocent people. The Executive Member suggested that a further report reviewing the scheme be submitted after 12 months of operation. This was supported by the Executive.

In response to a comment from Councillor M P A McMullen, the Leader commented that the Licensing Committee might wish to seek an interim report after six months.

The Executive supported the recommendation as now detailed.

<u>RECOMMENDED</u> - that the proposed DPPO in Hertford Town Centre, including the Castle grounds, be approved; and	DNS
---	-----

(B) a further report reviewing the scheme, be submitted after 12 months of operation.	DNS
---	-----

320 SCRUTINY STRUCTURE

The Chief Executive submitted a report setting out proposals for increasing Member involvement, improving the non-key decision process and reinvigorating the scrutiny function.

The Executive noted that proposals for the review had been circulated to all Members and discussed at an informal meeting in August 2007. This had set out a potential structure, as detailed in the report now submitted, merging the current scrutiny committees into one 'corporate business' scrutiny committee and creating two other scrutiny committees concentrating on policy review and development as well as aspects of the Council's performance.

Details of the proposed size, membership and terms of reference of the three new scrutiny committees were set out in the report now submitted. It was suggested that the new structure be implemented on 15 November 2007.

The Executive noted that the terms of reference for the Environment Scrutiny Committee should be amended to include the portfolio areas of the Executive Member for Environment and Conservation.

Councillor N Clark queried the proposed size of the new committees and asked if the membership could be extended to 12 members each. In reply, the Leader commented on the need to take a pragmatic approach and to weigh up members' commitments in general.

The Executive also noted the proposals for amending the non-key decision process by abolishing the Executive Advisory Panels. It was noted that contrary to paragraph 4.16 of the report, the current procedure would not be followed as it was proposed to abolish the Executive Advisory Panels.

The Policy Development Scrutiny Committee, at its meeting held on 21 August 2007, recommended that the review of the scrutiny function should include the establishment of a Health Engagement Panel for scrutinising local health issues. This had been supported by the Executive on 4 September 2007 (Minute 228 refers). It was suggested that a Health Engagement Panel be set up as a sub group of the Community Scrutiny Committee. The Community Scrutiny Committee would need to formally establish this Panel, determining its size, allocation of seats to political groups and terms of reference at its first meeting.

Councillor M Wood expressed the view that scrutiny meetings convened for the purposes of call-in should

be chaired by an Opposition Member. The Leader responded by stating that all scrutiny meetings should be chaired by the relevant Committee Chairman. The appointment of Vice-Chairmen was a matter for each Committee to determine and that he would take advice from Officers on best practice.

Councillor A M Graham commented on the importance of utilising the best available expertise for the scrutiny function in general regardless of political persuasion.

In response to a question from Councillor N Clark, the Leader commented that all political groups would be invited to contribute to task and finish groups at the outset of the project.

In respect of the special responsibility allowances, it was clarified that the Chairman of the Corporate Business Scrutiny Committee would receive the same amount as the current Policy Development Scrutiny Committee Chairman. Councillor D Clark referred to the proposed level of special responsibility allowances for the Community and Environment Committee Chairmen and questioned whether this represented good value.

The Executive supported the recommendations as now detailed.

RECOMMENDED - that (A) the scrutiny structure set out in the report now submitted be approved;

DIS

(B) the number of seats on each scrutiny committee be 10;

(C) as a consequence of the decisions at (A) and (B) above, the allocation of seats on Committees to political groups and the non group Member be as follows:

<u>Committees</u>	<u>Seats</u>	<u>Cons</u>	<u>Lib Dem</u>	<u>Ind</u>	<u>JW</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Corporate Business Scrutiny	10	8	1	0	1	10
Environment Scrutiny	10	8	1	1	0	10
Community Scrutiny	10	8	1	1	0	10
Licensing	15	13	1	1	0	15
Human Resources	7	6	1	0	0	7
Audit	7	6	0	0	1	7
Development Control	16	14	1	1	0	16
Totals:	75	63	6	4	2	75

(D) the membership of the committees identified in (C) above be as set out in the papers to be submitted to Council, with Members being appointed in accordance with the wishes of the political groups to whom the seats have been allocated; DIS

(E) a Health Engagement Panel be established as a sub group of Community Scrutiny, for scrutinising local health issues; DIS

(F) the Executive Panels be discontinued and the non-key decision process be as set out in paragraph 4.16 of the report now submitted; DIS

(G) the following councillors be appointed as Chairmen of the new scrutiny committees as detailed below: DIS

Corporate Business	-	to be advised
Environment	-	to be advised
Community	-	to be advised

(H) the Special Responsibility Allowance for the Chairmen of Corporate Business, Environment and Community Scrutiny Committees be as detailed in the report now DIS

submitted;

(I) the Council's Constitution be amended as necessary to take account of the decisions at (A) to (H) above; and DIS

(J) the Chairmen of Policy and Performance Scrutiny Committees be authorised to sign the Minutes of the last meetings of these Committees as correct records.

321 DRAFT REVISED STATEMENT OF LICENSING POLICY

The Executive Member for Community Safety and Protection submitted a report requesting the Council to approve the draft revised Statement of Licensing Policy following consultation. Section 5 of the Licensing Act 2003 required the Licensing Authority to determine its Licensing Policy and publish every 3 years the Statement of Licensing Policy that set out the principles it applied in exercising its functions.

The existing Statement of Licensing Policy had been approved at Council on 15 December 2004 and published in 2005. An interim review of the policy statement had taken place in April 2006, following which no changes had been made to the Statement of Licensing Policy. A summary of the proposed changes was set out in Appendix 'A9' of the report now submitted. The Executive Member detailed the reasons for these changes in the report as follows:

- removing references to the transition from Justices alcohol licences and Public Entertainment Licences to premises and personal licences;
- the circumstances in which a cumulative impact policy may be appropriate and the evidence that will be required to support any request to

implement such a policy;

- **various revisions and clarifications of Government guidance;**
- **changes to legislation enforced by Responsible Authorities since the last review, particularly the Regulatory Reform Order giving greater powers to the Fire Authority;**
- **changes to legislation enforced by Environmental Health, particularly the Health Act, and the impact of the provision of smoking shelters on the potential for licensed premises to cause nuisance;**
- **the consequences for failure to advertise correctly the application;**
- **a requirement that applications must be correctly and fully completed on the statutory prescribed form; and**
- **clarifying the requirements for entries on a petition to qualify as a relevant objection.**

The Licensing Committee, at its meeting held on 3 October 2007, suggested that names and addresses of objectors should be withheld from applicants where there was a genuine fear of harassment. This had been included in the revised Statement.

The revised Statement, highlighting the proposed changes, was detailed at Appendix 'B9' of the report now submitted.

The Executive Member wished to place on record his congratulations to the Licensing Committee for its work. He advised that 176 applications had been determined by the Committee and that of the few that

had appealed, none had resulted in costs being awarded against the Council. Members also praised the efforts of Officers in producing the reports on each application.

The Executive supported the recommendation as now detailed.

RECOMMENDED - that the draft Statement of Licensing Policy, as revised, be approved.

DNS

322 ALLOCATION OF CAPITAL GRANT TO SOCIAL HOUSING SCHEMES: 21 HOCKERILL STREET, BISHOP'S STORTFORD; SANVILLE GARDENS, STANSTEAD ABBOTTS AND ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE STAIRCASED SHARED OWNERSHIP PROJECT

The Executive Members for Housing and Health submitted a report seeking approval for the allocation of capital grant to social housing schemes and for the provision of a unit for a wheelchair accessible staircased shared ownership project.

The Executive Member detailed the schemes at 21 Hockerill Street, Bishop's Stortford for the provision of 10 units at £294,000 and at Sanville Gardens, Stanstead Abbots for 22 units at £631,000. He also detailed the circumstances of a wheelchair accessible staircased shared ownership project, which required an additional £5,000 support from the Council.

Councillor A M Graham queried the means in which the Council could influence the performance of Registered Social Landlords (RSLs). The Executive Member commented that whilst monitoring the RSLs performance was the responsibility of the Housing Corporation, the Council did have three appointed representatives on the board of Circle Anglia. Tenants were also represented at board level and liaison meetings were held on a regular basis. The Leader

referred to the role of the Local Strategic Partnership.

In response to a comment by Councillor R N Copping, the Executive Member confirmed the nominations process.

Councillor N Clark asked why this matter had not been included within the Forward Plan. The Executive Member responded by stating that it was difficult to predict when these types of schemes would come on stream as they required complicated negotiations.

The Executive supported the recommendations as now detailed.

RECOMMENDED - that (A) up to £294,000 of capital grant be allocated to the Circle Anglia Housing Association for the provision of 10 units of affordable housing on the 21 Hockerill Street site in Bishop's Stortford in return for 100% nomination rights at the first lettings and 75% thereafter (some units maybe funded by the Housing Corporation and let in accordance with the Stansted Area Housing Partnership agreement);

DNS

(B) the allocation of £631,500 be allocated to Circle Anglia Housing Group for the provision of 22 units of affordable housing on the Sanville Gardens site, in Stanstead Abbots in return for 100% nomination right to first lettings and 75% thereafter, subject to the housing association providing proof that they have a binding contract with the construction company Persimmon Homes; and

DNS

(C) the allocation of a further £5,000 to the Wheelchair Accessible Staircased Shared Ownership project.

DNS

323 FOOTBRIDGE OVER THE RIVER STORT

The Leader of the Council submitted a report updating Members on the progress made towards completion of the project to construct a pedestrian and cycle bridge over the River Stort, designed as a landmark structure linking the proposed redevelopment of the Station Goods Yard to the rest of the town. He referred to the revised report which had been tabled and commented that the Executive wished to consider this matter without excluding the press and public.

Originally twinned with an improved transport interchange, the allocation of the larger part of the Growth Area Fund (GAF) grant of £2.195million to the bridge project was agreed by the Executive at the meeting of 12 September 2006. The purchase of land and rights was agreed at the meeting of 6 February 2007 and planning permission for the new bridge was granted at the end of March 2007.

The Executive noted that circumstances had changed significantly since the decision to bid for the GAF. At the outset, Officers had worked closely with Barratts, the developer selected by Network Rail for the Goods Yard Site, as initial cost estimates assumed that the bridge project would be run alongside the main site development and benefit from procurement and site cost efficiencies. In the event, Barratts and Network Rail had failed to agree commercial terms and the Bridge project now stood alone.

The Executive noted the additional costs associated with easement rights and adoption criteria and the external funding sources that had been identified to assist in meeting the additional costs. The financial position and additional capital requirement was set out in the report now submitted.

In response to a question by Councillor D Clark, the

position on possible section 106 funding was noted.

The Executive supported the recommendations as now detailed.

RECOMMENDED - that (A) the progress towards completion of the Bishop's Stortford Bridge project be noted; and

(B) the adjustments to the capital programme, as advised in the report now submitted, be adopted.

DIS

RESOLVED ITEMS

324 MINUTES

RESOLVED - that the Minutes of the Executive meeting held on 4 September 2007 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

325 ISSUES ARISING FROM SCRUTINY

The Executive received a report detailing those issues referred to the Executive by the Scrutiny Committees. Issues relating to specific reports for the Executive were considered and detailed at the relevant report of the Executive Member.

RESOLVED – that the report be noted.

326 REVIEW OF EXISTING FUEL POVERTY STRATEGY AND NEW AFFORDABLE WARMTH STRATEGY FOR ADOPTION

The Executive Member for Housing and Health submitted a report reviewing the existing Fuel Poverty Strategy, which made recommendations for adopting the new Affordable Warmth Strategy, in order to meet better the needs of the fuel poor and vulnerable residents.

The Executive Member referred to the key priorities within the existing Fuel Poverty Strategy and the range of activities undertaken since the Strategy was adopted in 2000.

The revised Affordable Warmth Strategy aimed to enable all private sector residents to access affordable warmth if they wished. It proposed the aim of eradicating fuel poverty where reasonable, practicable and possible, in line with the Government's target to end Fuel Poverty in vulnerable groups in England by 2010 and for everyone by 2016.

The Executive Member referred to the priorities of the Community Strategy that would benefit as a result of the initiatives in the Affordable Warmth Strategy. These initiatives were detailed in the report now submitted.

The Executive Member commented on the possibility of establishing a Warm Zone scheme. This was an area based approach, where a suitable area was identified through a combination of local knowledge and analysis of benefits data and a street by street survey was carried out and measures were offered to qualifying residents for free. It could also help to assist income maximisation by offering benefits checks to residents and raising awareness of the advantages of energy efficiency.

However, introducing a Warm Zone scheme would require additional Council funding being made available. There were too many variables to provide an estimate of costs and benefits to the Council without a feasibility study. If the Council was interested in contributing to such an approach, a study could be carried out using existing resources.

Finally, the Executive Member asked the Executive to consider an additional recommendation referring the Strategy and the Warm Zones scheme to the Local Strategic Partnership. This was agreed by the Executive.

In response to a question from Councillor J O Ranger, the Executive Member confirmed that the recommendation relating to the Warm Zones scheme did not commit the Council to future expenditure as the outcome of a feasibility study would be awaited.

The Executive approved the proposals as now detailed.

RESOLVED – that (A) the Affordable Warmth Strategy attached as Appendix ‘A8’ of the report now submitted, be adopted; DNS

(B) the establishment of a referral network be included as a key action in the Community Strategy; DNS

(C) the Council considers introducing a Warm Zones scheme subject to a satisfactory feasibility study and resources being available; and DNS

(D) the Affordable Warmth Strategy and Warm Zones Scheme be referred to the Local Strategic Partnership for consideration. DIS

327 HERTFORD EAST RESIDENT PERMIT PARKING SCHEME

The Executive Member for Planning Policy and Transport submitted a report on the development of a resident permit parking scheme for the area around Hertford East railway station in Hertford.

The Executive Member detailed the background to the scheme and the extensive consultation that had taken place with residents and businesses. Through each round of consultation the Council had revised its proposals, particularly in respect of the proposed scheme’s boundary, in order to design a scheme that met the needs of residents. It was proposed that the scheme be implemented only in those streets where a majority of those expressing a view voted in favour of inclusion.

The Executive Member outlined the representations to the scheme and the proposed response to them. He referred to the additional costs of the revised approach set out in the report and the funding obtained from the County Council in respect of unutilised section 106 contributions.

The Executive Member also referred to the additional information that had been circulated in the supplementary agenda. This dealt with a requirement for additional consultation involving a number of properties in Mead Lane, as a result of a recent planning permission for a housing development site on the area known as the "TXU" site. The Executive Member proposed withdrawing these properties from the TRO to enable further consultation. In the event of the majority of these residents wishing to remain in the scheme, then Officers would promote an Amendment Order.

The Executive Member wished to place on record his appreciation of the efforts of Officers in progressing this scheme.

The Executive approved the proposals as now submitted.

RESOLVED – that (A) Officers' proposals in respect of objections received following the advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) in respect of the above scheme be approved; DCCS

(B) Officers be instructed to proceed with the implementation of a scheme in those streets where a mandate has been established; DCCS

(C) the course of action recommended in respect of the area to the east of the proposed scheme, which will include a fresh round of consultation to commence approximately six months after the implementation of a scheme in the western area, be approved; DCCS

ACTION

(D) properties nos. 1-13 Mead Lane be eliminated from the proposed scheme, pending an urgent re-consultation with these seven properties following a forced re-design of the scheme in their immediate area; and

DCCS

(E) should the majority of respondents indicate a wish to remain within the scheme as amended in their area, Officers be authorised to promote an Amendment Order, re-incorporating Mead Lane into the wider scheme.

DCCS/
DIS

328 LEISURE SERVICE OPERATIONS – FANSHAWE
POOL AND GYM

The Executive Member for Community Development, Leisure and Culture submitted a report addressing the Executive's deferral of proposals to close Fanshawe pool for casual swimming at weekends pending further assessment of the likely impact (Minute 69 refers).

As a result of the Executive's decision of 12 June 2007, Stevenage Leisure Limited (SLL) was asked to re-examine the financial risk and impact on users of the proposals. The main risk factor, potentially having both a financial element and a user impact, identified and reconsidered by SLL, was that of losing some of the thriving direct debit membership at Fanshawe that allowed customers use of both the pool and gym.

The Executive Member detailed the results of a survey of direct debit members and the likely financial impact of carrying out the original proposal. As a result of these findings, SLL had recommended to the Council that the existing weekend timetable, as attached at Appendix 'A11' of the report now submitted, be retained for at least the next six months, at which time the matter would be reviewed. In the interim, performance and income would continue to be monitored as part of the monthly monitoring meetings with SLL.

The Policy Development Scrutiny Committee, at its meeting held on 9 October 2007, had supported the proposals, subject to a review after six months. In addition, the Committee requested that the weekend opening of Leventhorpe and Ward Freman pools should also be reviewed at the same time. The Executive accepted these recommendations.

The Executive Member referred to new opening times for Leventhorpe on Sundays as a result of an initiative involving the Town Council.

Councillor D Clark referred to a question she had raised at the Scrutiny Committee meeting requesting details on why the decision on Fanshawe pool had been different to Leventhorpe pool. The Leader responded by commenting that the report submitted contained the necessary details for the decision being sought. The impact on direct debit membership at Fanshawe pool had been perceived as a greater risk, which explained why the proposal to close the pool at weekends had been deferred.

In response to a question by Councillor N Clark on the savings identified in the proposal to close both pools at weekends, the Leader commented that there was no comparison between the two pools and that the proposed decision on Fanshawe pool was based on the risk assessment made as detailed in the report submitted.

The Executive approved the proposals as now detailed.

- | | |
|--|------|
| <u>RESOLVED</u> – that (A) the current weekend programme for Fanshawe pool and gym, as presented at Appendix ‘A11’ of the report now submitted, be retained. | DCCS |
| (B) the programme be reviewed in six months; and | DCCS |
| (C) the weekend opening of Leventhorpe and | DCCS |

Ward Freman pools be reviewed at the same time.

329 EAST HERTS DATA QUALITY STRATEGY

The Leader of the Council submitted a report setting out a Data Quality Strategy that outlined the Council's approach to producing accurate, timely and relevant performance information that conformed to a set of core principles.

Following the annual performance indicator audit that all District Councils were subject to during 2004/05, the Audit Commission had launched a new framework for 2005/06. The new framework meant that many District Councils would have a less intensive assessment, but would receive a more targeted approach to focus resources on key areas. The Data Quality Strategy had been produced as part of a response, along with the separate Data Sharing Protocol, to the Auditor's requirement for East Herts Council to have these documents in place, as a point of reference for services to identify what was good data quality.

The Leader detailed the proposed Strategy at Appendix 'A12' of the report now submitted.

The Policy Development Scrutiny Committee, at its meeting held on 9 October 2007, had supported the draft Strategy and had commented on the need to use systems which were compatible with the Covalent performance management system. The Executive accepted these comments.

The Executive approved the Strategy as now detailed.

RESOLVED - that (A) the Data Quality Strategy, as now submitted, be approved; and CE

(B) systems compatible with the Covalent system be used in future, if possible. CE

330 TENDER FOR THE DESIGN, SUPPLY AND
INSTALLATION OF TWO NEW SECTION 106
FUNDED PLAY AREAS, ST MICHAEL'S MEAD

The Executive Member for Community Development, Leisure and Culture submitted a report seeking approval for the tendering process for the provision of two new Section 106 funded play areas at St Michael's Mead, Bishop's Stortford, using the selection criteria set out in the report now submitted.

The Executive Member detailed the proposed timescale for the project with completion of the play areas expected in April 2008. The contract for the design, supply and installation of the two play areas would be tendered in line with East Herts' corporate procurement procedure and processes. Officers would now prepare an individual design brief for each of the two new play areas as part of the tender process. The Executive Member detailed the suggested weighted evaluation criteria to be used in assessing the tenders. It was anticipated that the contract would be awarded in January 2008, with construction work expected to start in March and completion in April 2008.

The Executive Member clarified that the proposed equipment did not require planning approval by the Development Control Committee.

The Executive approved the proposals as now detailed.

RESOLVED - that the selection criteria detailed within the report now submitted, be agreed for the award of a contract for the design, supply and installation of the two new play areas in St Michael's Mead, Bishop's Stortford.

DCCS

331 TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY STATEMENT
2006/07 AND PRUDENTIAL CODE REVIEW

The Executive Member for Resources and Internal Support

submitted a report providing an annual review of the Council's 2006/07 Treasury Management and Prudential Code arrangements in line with the requirements of the code of practice on Treasury Management.

The Executive noted that the annual review covered:

- the Council's current treasury position;
- the strategy for 2006/07;
- the economy in 2006/07;
- the borrowing outturn in 2006/07;
- compliance with treasury limits and Prudential Indicators;
- investment outturn for 2006/07; and
- debt rescheduling.

The Policy Development Scrutiny Committee, at its meeting held on 9 October 2007, had supported the proposals as now detailed. The Committee had requested that future reports should include a graph showing the Council's fund managers' performance compared to other fund managers. The Committee also requested that other Members should be utilised in providing support at meetings with the fund managers.

The Executive accepted the request for the additional information requested. In respect of meetings with the fund managers, the Leader undertook to discuss the practicalities of this with Officers.

The Executive agreed the proposals as now detailed.

RESOLVED - that (A) the 2006/07 Treasury Management and Prudential Indicator Outturn be

noted and no changes be made at this stage to the investment parameters or prudential indicators for 2006/07; and

(B) a graph showing the Council’s fund managers’ performance compared to other fund managers be included in future reports.

DIS

332 OPERATIONAL AND STRATEGIC RISK MONITORING (APRIL – JUNE 2007)

The Leader of the Council submitted a report relating to action taken to mitigate and control risks tracked by operational and strategic risk registers during the period 1 April to 30 June 2007.

The Executive noted the report.

RESOLVED - that the progress in taking action to mitigate and control risks tracked by operational and strategic risk registers during the period 1 April to 30 June 2007 be noted.

The meeting closed at 9.20 pm

K:\BSWP\NPS\Executive\23 October 2007\Minutes 23 Oct 2007.doc

Chairman
Date