

East Herts Council Response to Hertfordshire Council's Draft Hertfordshire Eastern Growth and Transport Plan Prospectus and Stage 3 Interventions Paper, Minor Matters and Typographical Errors

NB. Where amendments are proposed to suggested interventions detailed with ID or PK numbers in the table below, it is important that these changes should be made wherever these same interventions are detailed in more than one place in the document.

Section	Topic	Issue/Suggested Amendment
Prospectus		
General	Page/Paragraph numbering	For ease of reference/identification purposes, the document would benefit from pagination and paragraph numbering.
Interventions Paper		
General	Page/Paragraph numbering	For ease of reference/identification purposes, the document would benefit from paragraph numbering.
General	Tables – Font	Consistency – throughout the document, some tables have their fields filled in normal text, whereas others are filled in bold text (See tables on pages 24, 28-29, 32-35, etc). Unless there is some special priority for these tables, or parts thereof, the font should be standardised across the document.
Table 1 Page 13	LTP Links	Without a Key it is unclear what “(” means in the boxes. Perhaps a tick or cross would be clearer if it is intended to denote a positive. Also, there is a tab issue with some of the LTP4 Policy headings.

Section	Topic	Issue/Suggested Amendment
Page 6	Inter-urban and Local-urban interactions lists	Formatting issues.
Page 18	GTP Intervention Hierarchy – Project	Formatting issue – Should read ‘A project...’, not ‘A’ with ‘project...’ on line below.
Page 18	GTP Intervention Hierarchy – Linked Project Group	Formatting issue – Green box not aligned.
Page 20	GTP Intervention Hierarchy – Scheme	Formatting issue – Should read ‘A scheme is a medium...’, not ‘A scheme’ with ‘is a medium...’ on line below.
Page 20	GTP Intervention Hierarchy – Scheme – Fourth bullet point	Formatting issue.
Page 20	GTP Intervention Hierarchy – Package	Formatting issue – Blue box not aligned.
Page 20	GTP Intervention Hierarchy –	Formatting issue – Grey box not aligned.

Section	Topic	Issue/Suggested Amendment
	Strategic Interventions	
Figure 4 – Map of Bishop’s Stortford Page 23	Key/Map discrepancy	While the key shows a notation for the Sustrans Cycleway, this does not carry over onto the map itself – this should be rectified.
6. EGTP Proposals PK2 Table Page 25	Package description	Typo – third point is missing its bullet.
6. EGTP Proposals PK2 Table Page 25	SM14 Whittington Way description	Typo – should read ‘...Bishop’s Stortford South northern access’, not ‘southern northern access’.
6. EGTP Proposals PK13 Page 37	Introductory paragraph.	Typo – the end of the paragraph should read ‘...cross the A1250 Link Road safely and efficiently’, not ‘...cross the A1250. Safely and efficiently’
6. EGTP Proposals PK22 Page 48	Table 28 SM167 Virtual Parking Permits	Typo – should read ‘Provide’, not ‘Provides’.

Section	Topic	Issue/Suggested Amendment
8. Implications on Place and Movement Page 71	Paragraph below Table 47	Typo – 'at' should be inserted between '...above,' and 'Little Hadham...' Final paragraph, second line, insert 'a' between '...function of' and 'road'